

# PSEG Energy Resources & Trade (ER&T) 2021 Metric Performance

February 17, 2022

# Agenda

---

- Review of ER&T Operational Performance Metrics
  - Power Supply Management (PSM)
  - Fuel Management (FM)
- Changes to PSM and FM Metrics for 2022

**Review of ER&T Operational Performance Metrics**  
by  
**Glenn Nafey, PSEG Middle Office**

# The PSEG Organization



★ Provides support to LIPA




# PSEG - Middle Office Update:

## PSM / FM Operational Performance Metrics

---

- Middle Office tracks the operating performance of ER&T under the Power Supply Management (PSM) and Fuel Management (FM) contracts
- The overall PSM metric has 9 individual metric components. The overall FM metric has 6 individual metric components.
- Each individual metric has a performance benchmark, as well as an offset trigger at or above the benchmark and a penalty trigger at or below the benchmark. The triggers define a band of reasonableness around benchmarks.
- Performance above the offset trigger on one individual metric can partially offset performance below the penalty trigger on another individual metric.
- ER&T is subject to an annual financial penalty if performance on the PSM metrics, and/or the FM metrics, nets out to a penalty. There is no possibility of financial bonus.
- ER&T's overall performance on PSM and FM metrics has been above target performance for all years since the contract started.

# PSM Operational Performance Metrics

PSM Performance Metrics	L/H	2019		2020		2021	
		Metric	Benchmark	Metric	Benchmark	Metric	Benchmark
PSM 1: Cable Effectiveness (CSC/Neptune)	H	79.0%	81.1%	87.4%	82.6%	88.2%	84.3%
PSM 2: Critical Report Timeliness	H	99.8%	95.0%	99.8%	95.0%	99.8%	95.0%
PSM 3: Generation Bid Accuracy	H	100.0%	98.0%	99.9%	98.0%	100.0%	98.0%
PSM 4: Adherence to Bidding Strategy	H	100.0%	98.0%	99.9%	98.0%	99.9%	98.0%
PSM 5: Contingent Bid Responsiveness	H	99.7%	95.0%	99.3%	95.0%	97.2%	95.0%
PSM 6: Annual Significant Loss <sup>(1)</sup>	L	0	0	0	0	0	0
PSM 7: Load Forecasting	L	3.4%	5.0%	4.0%	5.0%	3.6%	5.0%
PSM 8: Capacity Market (\$/kW-month)	L	(\$0.03)	\$0.00	(\$0.00)	\$0.00	(\$0.06)	\$0.00
PSM 9: Quarterly Satisfaction Report	H	3.9	3.0	4.1	3.0	4.1	3.0
<b>Overall PSM Metric</b>							

1) PSM 6: Cannot gain offset points. Zero is best rating. Not possible to be green.

Performance above offset trigger	Performance within tolerance band	Performance below penalty trigger
----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

<b>PSM 1: Cable Effectiveness</b>	Measures how much of the potential Day Ahead cost saving is captured when utilizing the Neptune and Cross Sound cables.
<b>PSM 2: Critical Report Timeliness</b>	Evaluates the timeliness of uploading critical reports specified by LIPA to the SharePoint website.
<b>PSM 3: Generation Bid Accuracy</b>	All generation bids submitted to the ISO by the Power Supply Manager are independently calculated and compared for any variances.
<b>PSM 4: Adherence to Bidding Strategy</b>	Tracks that all bids for Load, Bear Swamp, Neptune and CSC are submitted to the ISO appropriately.
<b>PSM 5: Contingent Bid Responsiveness</b>	Tracks the response time to contingent events (generator, cable, fuel, supply disruptions) and samples them for accuracy.
<b>PSM 6: Annual Significant Loss</b>	The intent of this metric is to incent the Power Supply Manager to minimize errors in the performance of its duties not covered by other performance metrics that have an adverse impact on LIPA's financial results.
<b>PSM 7: Load Forecasting</b>	Evaluates the performance of the Power Supply Manager's load forecast.
<b>PSM 8: Capacity Market</b>	The objective is for the Power Supply Manager to purchase capacity to meet LIPA's Statewide Capacity Obligation at a cost lower than the volume-weighted average auction price.
<b>PSM 9: Quarterly Satisfaction Report</b>	Rates LIPA's overall satisfaction with the Power Supply Manager's services based on a survey of LIPA management.

# FM Operational Performance Metrics

FM Performance Metrics	L/H	2019		2020		2021	
		Metric	Benchmark	Metric	Benchmark	Metric	Benchmark
FM 1: Gas Price Forecasting	L	6.4%	8.6%	8.8%	11.8%	8.7%	10.5%
FM 2: Gas Purchase Price	L	-0.3%	1.5%	-0.3%	1.4%	-0.1%	1.6%
FM 3: Gas Balancing Charge	L	0.0%	0.25%	0.0%	0.25%	0.0%	0.25%
FM 4: Quarterly Satisfaction Report	H	4.0	3.0	4.2	3.0	4.1	3.0
FM 5: Oil Inventory Monitoring	H	100.0%	98.0%	100.0%	98.0%	100.0%	98.0%
FM 6: Invoice Processing	H	99.9%	98.0%	99.9%	98.0%	99.9%	98.0%

Overall FM Metric			
-------------------	--	---	---

Performance above offset trigger
Performance within tolerance band
Performance below penalty trigger

FM 1: Gas Price Forecasting	Measures the Fuel Manager's ability to accurately estimate the Gas Daily Settle price of natural gas.
FM 2: Gas Purchase Price	Measures the Fuel Manager's ability to secure a favorable price relative to daily market activity.
FM 3: Gas Balancing Charge	Measures the Fuel Manager's ability to minimize balancing penalties associated with natural gas nominations while optimizing the use of cashout gas when it is economic to do so based upon market conditions.
FM 4: Quarterly Satisfaction Report	Rates LIPA's overall satisfaction with the Fuel Manager's services based on a survey of LIPA management.
FM 5: Oil Inventory Monitoring	Monitors the fuel oil inventories to ensure the appropriate oil inventory levels are maintained.
FM 6: Invoice Processing	Measures the Fuel Manager's ability to validate and process invoice payments on behalf of LIPA.

**Changes to PSM and FM Metrics for 2022**  
by  
**Steven Oster, PSEG ER&T**



# Updates to PSM and FM Metrics for 2022

---

- **PSM 1: Cable Transaction Effectiveness**
  - Metric Weighting has been increased from 10% to 15% to reflect significance of cable transactions
  - Performance benchmark will exclude the day following an outage (cable availability has historically been uncertain on such days)
- **PSM 9: Overall Satisfaction**
  - Weighting has been decreased by 5% (from 30% to 25%)
- **PSM 5: Contingent Bid Responsiveness**
  - The number of events sampled for review will increase from 5 to 10 per month
- **FM 3: Gas Balancing Charge**
  - Balancing charges and penalties during gas curtailment (Operational Flow Order) days will be included in the metric, subject to exclusion for certain defined circumstances beyond ER&T's control